**ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS PAEDAGOGICAE CRACOVIENSIS,**

**STUDIA DE SECURITATE**

**Review of the article**

REVIEWER: ……………………..………………………………………………………………………………..………..

TITLE OF THE ARTICLE: …….…………………………………………………………….……………………...….……………………………………...………………….………………………………….………………………….………………………………...…………………….………………………………….……………………………….

Dear Reviewer,

please complete the table of reviews by inserting a sign „X”

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| o.n. | Criteria | | | Yes | No |
|  | Does the article content match the title? | | |  |  |
|  | Is the layout of the article correct? | | |  |  |
|  | Is the issue presented in a transparent and logical way? | | |  |  |
|  | Is the terminology used correct? | | |  |  |
|  | Are the methods used correct? | | |  |  |
|  | Is the number of sources referred to by the Author sufficient? | | |  |  |
|  | Is the selection of sources and the way they are used correct? | | |  |  |
|  | Is the illustrative material (tables, charts, diagrams, figures) transparent and properly selected? | | |  |  |
|  | Are the presented results new? | | |  |  |
|  | Are the derived conclusions and generalizations correct? | | |  |  |
|  | The content in terms of substance is: | Very good | Good | Obvious | Poor |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | The character of presented issue is: | Global | Regional | Natonal | Lokal |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **SUMMARY:** | | | | |
|  | **The article is suitable for printing** | | |  | |
|  | * In the form and volume shown | | |  | |
|  | * After taking into account the corrections of the reviewer agreed with the author | | |  | |
|  | * After full re-editing and re-review | | |  | |
|  | **The article is NOT suitable for printing** | | |  | |
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